Table VII.D. 3. c(2000) Percent of total premiums for any-provider plans contributed by employees enrolled in family coverage at private-sector establishments that offer health insurance by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

(40 States are shown separatery		Percent Full-Time Employees			Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
Division and State	Total	75% or more	50-74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	18. 6%	18. 7%	17. 7%	17. 4%	27. 0%	19. 1%	16. 9%
New Engl and:							
Massachusetts	14. 5% *	16.0% *	9.4% *	40.0% *	22. 7%	23. 1%	7. 8%
New Hampshire	19.0%	18. 1% *	27.0%	18. 5% *	28. 9% *	16.6% *	21.0%
Connecti cut	20. 1% *	20.8%	13.4% *	2.4% *	19. 0% *	28. 1%	12. 3%
Middle Atlantic:							
New York	18. 5%	18.6%	29. 4%	6.6% *	29. 0%	19. 1%	15. 9%
New Jersey	8.6% *	8. 7% *	****	3. 7% *	10. 3% *	17. 4%	6. 1%
Pennsyl vani a	10. 2%	10.6% *	6. 3% *	8.6% *	19. 1% *	6. 9% *	15. 0%
East North Central:							
Ohi o	19.6%	19.6%	24.6% *	****	57. 1% *	23. 5% *	16. 2%
I ndi ana	17.0%	15. 8%	47. 2% *	4.9% *	19.0% *	17. 1% *	16. 4%
Illinois	13. 9%	12.6%	37. 9% *	24. 1%	16. 9% *	11. 8% *	18. 2%
Mi chi gan	8. 4%	8.0%	7.4% *	20. 7% *	13. 2% *	7. 7% *	8. 4%
Wi sconsi n	16. 5%	14. 3%	28. 6%	32. 8% *	23. 6% *	10. 8% *	19. 9%
West North Central:							
Minnesota	31. 9%	32.5%	25. 2% *	19. 6%	23. 9%	31.8%	33. 0%
I owa	19. 6%	18.6% *	37. 5%	12. 5% *	25. 0%	14. 1% *	42. 0%
Mi ssouri	21. 3%	14.6%	47. 7%	****	48. 0%	11. 6% *	38. 4%
Nebraska	25. 9%	20. 1% *	82. 9% *	22. 7%	27. 7% *	37. 3% *	4. 8%
Kansas	25. 3%	25. 1%	31. 0% *	17. 8% *	40. 4%	22. 1%	37. 1%
North Dakota	26. 3%	25. 3%	32. 7%	23. 5% *	34. 6%	23. 5%	25. 0%
South Dakota	21. 0%	17. 2%	46. 3%	33. 8%	22. 8%	22. 2%	18. 3%
South Atlantic:	21.00	17. 2/0	40. 5/0	33. 6/0	۵2. 6/10	≈≈. ≈/0	10. 5/0
Maryl and	17. 8%	9.8% *	60. 9%	20. 2% *	28. 3% *	18.0% *	16. 4%
Vi rgi ni a	35. 4%	36. 7%	19. 4% *	9. 1% *	40. 1%	41. 6%	29. 5%
West Virginia	31. 7%	31. 5%	29. 8% *	37. 3% *	22. 6% *	36. 5%	21. 8%
North Carolina	26. 5%	26. 9%	7. 7% *	36. 5% *	26. 0% *	16. 7% *	35. 2%
South Carolina	31. 5%	31. 2%	40. 1% *	20. 5% *	51. 3%	36. 4% *	21. 3%
Georgi a	17. 7%	17. 9%	10. 7% *	****	1. 2% *	22. 1% *	16. 8%
Fl ori da	10. 7% *	13.6% *	7. 4%	30. 3% *	12. 2% *	13. 0% *	10. 5%
East South Central:	10. 770	13.0%	7.4/0	30. 3/0	12. 2/0	13.0%	10. 3/0
Kentucky	19. 4%	18. 4%	45. 7%	34.8% *	27. 9% *	24. 4%	16. 0%
**	13. 4%	13. 5% *	14. 1% *	****	12. 0% *	7. 8% *	21. 5%
Tennessee Al abama	28. 5%	28. 5%	25. 7%	39. 7% *	34. 5% *	40. 8%	17. 1%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	26. 3% 27. 2%		25. 7% 15. 6% *	49. 9% *	43. 0%		17. 1%
	21.2%	28. 7%	13.0%	49. 9%	43. 0%	30. 3%	19. 4%
West South Central:	10 00/	10 70/	27. 6% *	95 OW *	27. 9% *	93 0%	14. 3%
Arkansas	18. 9%	18. 7%		25. 9% *	27. 9% * 16. 7% *	23. 9% 19. 0% *	
Loui si ana	14. 6% *	17. 6% *	8. 2% *	14.4% *			11. 1%
0kl ahoma	28. 8% *	29. 2% *	24. 4% *	25. 8%	28. 0% *	26. 8% *	30. 9%
Texas	21. 8%	30. 3%	12. 9% *	19. 8% *	48. 1%	25. 4%	14. 9%
Mountain:	4 = 00/ 11	4.0 00/ 1/2	<b>~</b> 40/ ·/·	00 10/ 1	4.0 00/ 1/	22 70	44 00/
Colorado	15. 2% *	16. 8% *	7. 4% *	22.1% *	16. 9% *	26. 7%	11. 8%
New Mexico	24. 6% *	25. 3% *	24. 0% *	****	34. 8% *	30. 3% *	19. 7%
Ari zona	28. 2%	31. 1%	12. 6% *	63. 7% *	58. 9% *	11. 4%	31. 6%
Utah	16. 0%	16. 6%	9. 6% *	35. 9%	50. 8%	<b>6. 6</b> % *	18. 7%
Pacific:	00.00	24 29/	47 00	0.00/	00.00	40.40	4.4 000
Washi ngton	32. 0%	31.6%	47. 2% *	$\substack{\textbf{6.9\%} & * \\ ****}$	62. 2%	40. 1%	14. 6%
Oregon	11. 7%	12.0%	****		****	12. 9% *	11. 7%
California	22. 4%	20. 9%	34. 6%	15. 7%	31. 5% *	22. 6%	21. 8%
States not shown separately	21.0%	21. 9%	14.1% *	21. 7% *	32. 7%	20. 3% *	19. 0%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
\*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.

\*\*\*\*\* No estimate available. No reported values in cell.

Table VII.D.3.c(2000) Standard error for percent of total premiums for any-provider plans contributed by employees enrolled in family coverage at private-sector establishments that offer health insurance by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State	.,,	Percent Full-Time Employees			Percent Low-Wage Employees **		
	Total	75% or more	50-74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	0. 43%	0. 52%	2. 13%	1. 75%	2.04%	0. 97%	0.89%
New England:							
Massachusetts	4.61% *	5. 58% *	3. 78% *	12. 37% *	6. 36%	4. 96%	2. 78% *
New Hampshire	5. 52%	7. 15% *	7. 55%	5. 66% *	10. 79% *	5. 90% *	4. 44%
Connecticut	6. 09% *	6. 15%	4.80% *	0. 77% *	6. 02% *	7. 66%	3. 21%
Middle Atlantic:							
New York	2. 70%	3. 65%	8.71%	2. 27% *	7. 23%	4. 18%	3. 82%
New Jersey	5. 46% *	5. 53% *	****	5. 02% *	5. 30% *	4. 76%	8. 59% *
Pennsyl vani a	3. 01%	3. 39% *	9.65% *	3. 77% *	10. 93% *	3. 37% *	7. 89% *
East North Central:	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	3	10.00%	3.3.7	
Ohi o	5. 21%	4. 01%	11.14% *	****	17. 72% *	7. 15% *	2. 95%
I ndi ana	4. 17%	2. 16%	14. 85% *	1.61% *	7. 35% *	7. 52% *	4. 79%
Illinois	3. 08%	3. 12%	12. 27% *	7. 08%	5. 70% *	4. 13% *	5. 44%
Mi chi gan	2. 33%	2. 31%	2. 46% *	9. 05% *	6. 52% *	3. 73% *	2. 09%
Wi sconsi n	2. 88%	3. 02%	6. 68%	10. 63% *	12. 80% *	3. 81% *	3. 19%
West North Central:	£. 00/0	3. U2/0	0.00%	10. 03%	12. 80%	3. 61/0	3. 19/0
Minnesota	4 970/	4 550/	12. 78% *	5. 83%	e 99%	5. 78%	e 70%
	4. 27%	4. 55%			6. 82%		6. 70%
I owa	5. 02%	7. 67% *	9. 96%	7. 87% * ****	7. 16%	8. 68% *	10. 15%
Mi ssouri	5. 92%	4. 04%	14. 27%		14. 31%	3. 59% *	9. 92%
Nebraska	7. 47%	6. 11% *	26. 22% *	6. 78%	8. 43% *	11. 41% *	2. 07% *
Kansas	4. 48%	4. 85%	10. 74% *	7. 47% *	10. 08%	4. 70%	11. 29% *
North Dakota	2. 52%	2. 71%	7. 96%	10. 27% *	7. 87%	3. 90%	6. 25%
South Dakota	3. 98%	3. 90%	12. 16%	9. 07%	6. 15%	5. 51%	<b>5.64</b> % *
South Atlantic:							
Maryl and	3. 67%	3. 80% *	15. 44%	6. 86% *	9. 31% *	5. 98% *	4. 44%
Vi rgi ni a	5. 27%	6. 03%	6.63% *	3. 27% *	11.61%	9. 32%	5. 16%
West Virginia	6. 49%	6. 85%	11. 13% *	11. 73% *	7. 08% *	9. 05%	5. 35%
North Carolina	6. 03%	6. 19%	2. 36% *	11. 54% *	11. 52% *	6. 98% *	9. 02%
South Carolina	6. 75%	8. 51%	12.58% *	6. 48% *	12. 26%	12. 65% *	4. 20%
Georgi a	4. 07%	3. 96%	10.00% *	****	5. 16% *	10. 97% *	4. 27%
Fl ori da	8. 73% *	8. 54% *	2. 19%	9. 57% *	3. 87% *	7. 26% *	10. 13% *
East South Central:							
Kentucky	4. 40%	3. 98%	13. 49%	12. 30% *	8. 59% *	6. 42%	4. 74%
Tennessee	2. 61%	6. 20% *	4.47% *	****	4. 42% *	3. 30% *	5. 38%
Al abama	3. 90%	4. 74%	7. 20%	13. 68% *	11. 14% *	7. 21%	5. 51% *
Mi ssi ssi ppi	4. 95%	5. 25%	10. 72% *	15. 78% *	7. 90%	6. 71%	5. 05%
West South Central:	1.00%	0. 20%	101.12.0	10		3	0.00%
Arkansas	3. 62%	3. 60%	11.72% *	11. 04% *	8. 55% *	4. 32%	3. 02%
Loui si ana	7. 98% *	5. 98% *	9. 98% *	4. 65% *	6. 70% *	9. 61% *	7. 11% *
Okl ahoma	10. 20% *	11. 21% *	8. 96% *	7. 27%	12. 40% *	10. 96% *	8. 28%
Texas	4. 35%	6. 13%	10. 30% *	6. 11% *	11. 97%	4. 53%	6. 03% *
Mountai n:	4. 33/0	0. 13/0	10. 30/0	0. 1170	11. 37/0	4. 55%	0. 03/0
Col orado	5. 93% *	6. 00% *	2. 33% *	8. 40% *	5. 20% *	7. 44%	6. 55% *
	8. 66% *	8. 73% *	7. 35% *	****	11. 00% *	7. 44% 10. 21% *	
New Mexico							5. 24%
Ari zona	5. 64%	8. 23%	5. 30% *	20. 16% *	17. 77% *	3. 00%	8. 71%
Utah	4. 19%	4. 13%	6. 38% *	10. 71%	12. 80%	6. 31% *	4. 68%
Pacific:	~ ***	0.04%	4 5 4 40/ 1	0.049/	47 000	0.000	0.00%
Washi ngton	7. 54%	8. 21%	15. 14% *	2. 24% *	17. 62%	9. 37%	9. 93% *
0regon	2. 09%	2. 11%	****	****	****	6. 76% *	2. 89%
Cal i forni a	3. 24%	3. 90%	9. 88%	4. 17%	10. 93% *	4. 34%	8. 08% *
States not shown separately	3. 08%	2. 96%	4.84% *	8. 88% *	6. 62%	6. 11% *	3. 45%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.

<sup>\*</sup>Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.

\*\*\*\*\* No estimate available. No reported values in cell.